

# Nickel-Catalyzed Three-Component Domino Reactions of Aryl Grignard Reagents, Alkynes, and Aryl Halides Producing Tetrasubstituted Alkenes

Fei Xue,<sup>†</sup> Jin Zhao,<sup>\*,†</sup> T. S. Andy Hor,<sup>\*,†,‡</sup> and Tamio Hayashi<sup>\*,†,‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Department of Chemistry, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117543, Singapore

<sup>‡</sup>Institute of Materials Research and Engineering, Agency for Science, Technology and Research, Singapore 117602, Singapore

**Supporting Information** 

**ABSTRACT:** Three-component reaction of aryl Grignard reagents, alkynes, and aryl halides in the presence of 1 mol % of NiCl<sub>2</sub> proceeded sequentially through carbomagnesiation of the alkyne followed by cross-coupling of the resulting alkenyl Grignard reagent with aryl halide to give tetrasubstituted alkenes in high yields.

ransition-metal-catalyzed multicomponent domino and tandem reactions have been powerful tools for constructing complex molecules in a single flask.<sup>1</sup> One representative reaction is the Pd-catalyzed three-component reaction, reported by Larock, of internal alkynes, aryl iodides, and arylboronic acids producing tetrasubstituted alkenes.<sup>2,3</sup> The reaction proceeds through an alkenylpalladium species as a key intermediate, which is formed by oxidative addition of aryl iodide to Pd(0) followed by arylpalladation of alkyne. It reacts with arylboronic acid to give the tetrasubstituted alkene through reductive elimination (Scheme 1a).<sup>4,5</sup> Another synthesis scheme to produce tetrasubstituted alkenes from alkynes, aryl halides, and arylmetal reagents can be via alkenyl metals generated by carbometalation of alkynes. There have been several reports on the addition of organomagnesium<sup>6</sup> or -zinc<sup>7</sup> reagents to unfunctionalized alkynes forming the corresponding alkenyl metals, catalyzed by transition metal salts or complexes, and these have been subjected to further reactions to produce tetrasubstituted alkenes by taking advantage of their high reactivity.<sup>8</sup> Scheme 1b illustrates some reactions where the alkenyl metal reagents were used for the next step. Alkenyl Grignard reagents generated by Fe- or Cr-catalyzed carbomagnesiation of alkynes are mixed with organic halides in the presence of a Ni or Pd complex that catalyzes the cross-coupling to give tetrasubstituted alkenes.<sup>6e,g</sup> Alternatively, the alkenyl metal reagents are converted first into alkenyl iodides and then they are subjected to Ni- or Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling with organometallic reagents.7a,f,9 To our knowledge, there have been no reports on the reactions where a mixture of alkyne, organometallic reagent, and organic halide produces a tetrasubstituted alkene by the carbometalation/crosscoupling sequence<sup>8</sup> (Scheme 1c). The three-component reaction should consist of two sequential reactions: carbometalation generating alkenyl metal species (cm1) and its cross-coupling with organic halide (cc1). One serious problem in a threecomponent reaction would be a possible side reaction where  $R^{1}$ -MX reacts with organic halide  $X-R^2$  (cc2) before the reaction

# Scheme 1. Three-Component Reactions of Organometallics, Alkynes, and Aryl Halides Producing Tetrasubstituted Alkenes

(a) Po-catalyzed three-component coupling by Larock					
$R^{1}-I + R \longrightarrow R + (HO)_{2}B - R^{2} \longrightarrow R \xrightarrow{R} R$					
$\mathbb{R}^{1}$ $\mathbb{R}^{2}$					
$\begin{bmatrix} R^1 & Pd-I & R^1 & Pd-R^2 \end{bmatrix}$					
(b) Step-by-step carbometalation and cross-coupling					
cat. 1 R R cat. 2 R R					
$R \longrightarrow R + R' - MX \longrightarrow P^{1} MY \xrightarrow{X - R^{2}} P^{1} P^{2}$					
carbometalation N MA CONTRACT N					
cross-coupling					
M = Mg, Zn cot 1 = Ni Fo Cr Mp Co R R cat. 2 R R					
cat. 2 = Ni, Pd $\rightarrow$					
R' X AW - R' R' R'					
(c) Three-component carbometalation/cross-coupling sequence					
catalyst R R					
$R \longrightarrow R + R^1 - MX + X - R^2 \longrightarrow R^1 - R^2$					
R' R-					
$k_{\rm cm1}$ R R					
carbometalation-1 R $\rightarrow$ R $+$ R <sup>1</sup> -MX $\rightarrow$ Cat $\rightarrow$ Cat $\rightarrow$ NV					
(onit) R' MA					
$R R k_{cc1} R R$					
(cc1) $P1$ $MY$ $cat$ $cat$ $P1$ $P2$					
cross-coupling-2					
$(cc2) \qquad \qquad R'-MX \stackrel{+}{\to} X^-R^2  cat \qquad R'-R^2$					
R R k a					
carbometalation-2 R $\rightarrow$ R + $\rightarrow$ Cat					
(cm2) R <sup>1</sup> MX Cat					
$k_{cm1} \gg k_{cc2}$ $R^1$ $MX$					
$ k_{cc1} \rangle \langle k_{cm2} \rangle$					

with alkyne. Another problem is the carbometalation of alkyne with the alkenyl metal generating dienyl metal species (cm2). To realize the three-component reaction, cm1 must be much faster

Received:December 26, 2014Published:February 25, 2015

than the unfavorable competing cross-coupling (cc2), and cc1 must be much faster than cm2.

During our studies on the NiCl<sub>2</sub>-catalyzed arylmagnesiation of arylalkynes generating alkenylmagnesium reagents, <sup>6b</sup> we found that the three-component domino reaction of aryl Grignard reagents, alkynes, and aryl halides is also efficiently catalyzed by NiCl<sub>2</sub>. Table 1 summarizes the results obtained for the reaction



Ph + PhMgBr/Th + I	Ph 1a cata HF 2a tolui OMe 3a	$\begin{bmatrix} Ph \\ Ph \\ Ph \\ Ba \end{bmatrix}$	Ph Ph 4a	Ph Ph 5 OMe Ph	Ph Ph H Ph a	Ph Ph 7a Me 6a
entry	catalyst	yield 4a	recovd 1a	yield 5a	yield 6a <sup>b</sup>	yield 7a

entry	catalyst	4a	1a	5a	0a	7a
1	NiCl <sub>2</sub>	82	0	5	11	5
$2^{c}$	NiCl <sub>2</sub>	51	0	12	17	9
$3^d$	NiCl <sub>2</sub>	77	0	8	13	7
$4^e$	NiCl <sub>2</sub>	0	98	<1	0	0
$5^{f}$	NiCl <sub>2</sub>	82	0	6	12	3
6	$NiCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$	81	0	7	11	5
7	$Ni(acac)_2$	75	0	8	15	3
8	$Ni(OAc)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$	62	0	15	27	3
9	$NiCl_2(PPh_3)_2$	33	25	18	53	9
10 <sup>c</sup>	$NiCl_2(PPh_3)_2$	32	55	2	55	0
11	NiCl <sub>2</sub> (dppe)	30	10	55	15	3
12	$PdCl_2(PPh_3)_2$	0	88	9	70	0
$13^g$	$Fe(acac)_3/IPr^h$	0	40	38	3	2
$14^{i}$	MnCl <sub>2</sub>	5	52	33	5	2
$15^{j}$	NiCl <sub>2</sub>	81	0	5	15	6

<sup>a</sup>To a mixture of **1a** (0.50 mmol), **3a** (0.60 mmol), and catalyst (0.005 mmol, 1 mol %) in toluene (2.0 mL) was added PhMgBr (**2a**: 1.0 M in THF, 0.60 mL, 0.60 mmol), and the mixture was stirred under N<sub>2</sub> at 30 °C for 2 h. Values given are percent isolated yield. <sup>b</sup>Based on the amount of **3a** used. <sup>c</sup>PhMgBr (**2a**: 1.0 M in THF, 0.60 mL) + THF (2.0 mL). <sup>d</sup>PhMgBr (**2a**: 1.0 M in THF, 0.60 mL) + Et<sub>2</sub>O (2.0 mL). <sup>e</sup>PhMgBr (**2a**: 1.0 M in Et<sub>2</sub>O, 0.60 mL) + toluene (2.0 mL). <sup>J</sup>PhMgBr (**2a**: 1.5 M in Et<sub>2</sub>O, 0.40 mL) + toluene (2.0 mL) + THF (0.20 mL). <sup>g</sup>Fe(acac)<sub>3</sub> (5 mol %) and IPr (20 mol %) at 60 °C for 16 h. <sup>h</sup>IPr = 1,3-bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazol-2-ylidene. <sup>i</sup>MnCl<sub>2</sub> (10 mol %) at 100 °C for 16 h. <sup>J</sup>The reaction was scaled up 10-fold.

of diphenylacetylene (1a) with phenylmagnesium bromide (2a) and 4-iodoanisole (3a) in the presence of transition metal salts and complexes that have been reported to catalyze the carbomagnesiation and/or cross-coupling reactions. The highest selectivity in forming the tetrasubstituted alkene was obtained with NiCl<sub>2</sub> as a catalyst in a THF/toluene mixed solvent. Thus, to a mixture of 1a (0.50 mmol), 3a (0.60 mmol), and NiCl<sub>2</sub> (0.005 mmol, 1 mol %) in toluene (2.0 mL), was added PhMgBr/THF (2a, 0.60 mL of 1.0 M THF solution, 0.60 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at 30 °C for 2 h (entry 1). Aqueous workup gave 82% yield of the three-component coupling product 4a. Formation of a minor amount of triphenylethene (5a, 5%) and tetraphenylethene (7a, 5%), together with biaryl 6a (11%), was also observed. It is likely that 5a is formed by hydrolysis of 8a and

that 7a is formed by cross-coupling of 8a with phenyl iodide that is generated by the Mg/I exchange reaction.<sup>10</sup> No 1,3-dienes, which would be formed by the addition of 8a to 1a, were detected.<sup>11</sup>

The toluene/THF mixed-solvent system is important for high selectivity of 4a. Using all THF gave 4a in only 51% yield, with a greater amount of the side products (entry 2). The yield of 4a was 77% using diethyl ether in place of toluene as a diluting solvent (entry 3). Interestingly, arylmagnesiation or crosscoupling did not take place with 2a in diethyl ether, with starting 1a being recovered intact (entry 4). Addition of a small amount of THF to the toluene/diethyl ether mixed-solvent system gave essentially the same result as that of toluene/THF (entry 5). NiCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O catalyzed the three-component reaction as well as NiCl<sub>2</sub> to give 4a in a comparable yield (81%, entry 6). Because of its easy handling, NiCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O was used as one of the standard conditions for the present study (vide infra). Other Ni salts,  $Ni(acac)_2$  and  $Ni(OAc)_2 \cdot 4H_2O_1$ , also catalyzed the reaction, but the yield of 4a was a little lower (entries 7 and 8). NiCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>,  $^{12}$ known to catalyze both cross-coupling of Grignard reagents and arylmagnesiation of alkynes,<sup>6a</sup> gave **6a** as a main product together with a minor amount of three-component coupling product 4a in both THF/toluene and Et<sub>2</sub>O/toluene (entries 9 and 10).  $PdCl_2(PPh_3)_2$  did not catalyze the formation of 3component coupling product 4a at all, whereas 6a was formed at a high yield (70%, entry 12). Reactions in the presence of  $Fe(acac)_3/IPr$  (entry 13) and  $MnCl_2$  (entry 14), both of which have been reported to catalyze arylmagnesiation,<sup>6c,e</sup> either did not produce 4a at all or gave 4a in a very low yield, respectively, although phenylmagnesiation proceeded to some extent. The present system can be scaled up 10-fold without difficulty (entry 15, 4a in 81% yield).

By monitoring the reaction progress of 1a, 2a, and 3a in the presence of 1 mol % NiCl<sub>2</sub> (Table 1, entry 1), we gained substantial information on the reaction mechanism (Figure 1). The most significant feature is that phenylmagnesiation of 1a to form 8a is very fast. Within 10 min, more than 70% of 1a is converted into 8a, and in 20 min all 1a is consumed. Second, the cross-coupling of 8a with 3a, giving final product 4a, is slow



**Figure 1.** Time-dependent percentage of **1a**, **4a**, **5a**, **6a**, and **7a** in the reaction of **1a** (0.50 mmol), **2a** (0.60 mmol), and **3a** (0.60 mmol) in the presence of NiCl<sub>2</sub> (1 mol %) in toluene (2.0 mL) at 30 °C (Table 1, entry 1). Reaction was quenched by addition of H<sub>2</sub>O, and organic layer was analyzed by GC-MS using hexadecane as an internal standard. The amount of **5a** should correspond to that of alkenylmagnesium **8a**.

# Journal of the American Chemical Society

compared with phenylmagnesiation of 1a. We observed that 8a was gradually converted into cross-coupling product 4a over 90 min. Third, the cross-coupling of 2a with 3a giving 6a took place in an initial stage. The yield of 6a was 16% at 20 min and remained thus until the end of the reaction. This is ascribed to the fast consumption (<20 min) of all of 2a, mainly by the phenylmagnesiation of 1a and partly by the cross-coupling with 3a. Furthermore, 7a formed between 8a and phenyl iodide (vide supra) can be detected after 20 min, and the yield of 7a remained 5% over the course of the reaction.

A competition reaction (Scheme 2) demonstrates that **2a** is more reactive than **8a** toward the Ni-catalyzed cross-coupling

Scheme 2. Competition between Alkenylmagnesium 8a and PhMgBr (2a) in NiCl<sub>2</sub>-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling



with 3a under the present conditions. Thus, to the solution of 8a generated by the NiCl<sub>2</sub>-catalyzed phenylmagnesiation of 1a, an equivalent amount of PhMgBr/THF 2a was added, and the resulting mixture of 8a and 2a, containing the Ni catalyst, was allowed to react with 3a. Aqueous workup gave 78% yield of 5a and 63% of 6a with a minor amount (5%) of 4a and 7a.

The high selectivity in giving the three-component arylmagnesiation/cross-coupling product is mainly ascribed to the very fast arylmagnesiation of 1a with 2a in the presence of NiCl<sub>2</sub> catalyst (Scheme 1, *cm1*). Although the cross-coupling of the resulting alkenylmagnesium reagent 8a with 3a to form 4a (*cc1*) is slower than the cross-coupling of 2a with aryl iodide (*cc2*), most of 2a is first consumed by the phenylmagnesiation of 1a; as a result, only a small amount of the unreacted 2a participates in the cross-coupling with 3a. Under the present conditions, the carbomagnesiation of 1a with 8a which would generate dienylmagnesium species (*cm2*) is not observed.

The scope of the diarylacetylenes 1, arylmagnesium bromides 2, and aryl halides 3 in the three-component domino reaction is summarized in Table 2. This method applies well to 2 and 3 with an electron-donating or -withdrawing group on the phenyl ring at different positions. The aryl iodides with a substituent in the para position gave higher yields than those in the ortho or meta position (entries 1-5). The electron-donating substituent on the aryl iodides gave a higher yield of 4 than an electron-withdrawing substituent (entries 3 and 4). Similar electronic and steric effects were also observed for aryl Grignards. Aryl Grignard with a methyl group in the para position gave as high as 83% yield (entry 8), but the ortho-methyl gave 4 in 60% yield at higher temperature (entry 10). Diarylacetylenes with an electron-rich substituent in the meta or para position position produced tetrasubstituted olefin only after longer reaction time (16 h, entries 11-12). The reaction also works well with aryl bromides at an elevated temperature and after a longer reaction time (entries 13-14). High Z selectivity was observed in entries 15 and 16, which demonstrates that the cross-coupling of alkenylmagnesium with aryl iodides proceeds with retention of

Table 2. Reaction of Diaryl	lacetylenes	with Aryl	Iodides	and
the Grignard Reagents <sup>a</sup>				

. 1 —		NiCl <sub>2</sub> .	6H <sub>2</sub> O (1 mol%)	Ar <sup>1</sup> Ar <sup>1</sup>
Ar'— 1	— Ar⁺ + Ar≃–MgBr <b>2</b>	<b>3</b> 30 °C	oluene , 2 h	Ar <sup>2</sup> Ar <sup>3</sup>
entry	$Ar^1$	$Ar^2$	Ar <sup>3</sup> -X	yield $(\%)^b$
1	Ph	Ph	Ph-I	77 ( <b>4b</b> )
2	Ph	Ph	3-MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> -I	71 ( <b>4</b> c)
3	Ph	Ph	4-MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> -I	78 ( <b>4d</b> )
4	Ph	Ph	4-FC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> -I	64 ( <b>4e</b> )
5	Ph	Ph	1-naphthyl-I	65 (4f)
6	Ph	4-MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Ph-I	64 ( <b>4</b> a)
7	Ph	$3-MeC_6H_4$	Ph-I	64 ( <b>4</b> c)
8	Ph	4-MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Ph-I	83 (4d)
9 <sup>c</sup>	Ph	$4-FC_6H_4$	Ph-I	62 ( <b>4e</b> )
$10^d$	Ph	$2-MeC_6H_4$	Ph-I	60 ( <b>4</b> g)
$11^e$	3-MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Ph	3-MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> -I	70 ( <b>4h</b> )
$12^e$	$4-MeC_6H_4$	Ph	4-MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> -I	64 (4i)
13 <sup><i>d,e</i></sup>	Ph	Ph	Ph-Br	62 ( <b>4b</b> )
$14^{d,e}$	Ph	Ph	4-MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> -Br	61 ( <b>4d</b> )
$15^{f}$	Ph	4-MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	4-MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> -I	73 <sup>g</sup> (4j)
16	Ph	$4-FC_6H_4$	4-MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> -I	$66^{h}$ (4k)
17 <sup>c,d</sup>	2-MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> , Ph	$2 - MeC_6H_4$	Ph-I	$51^{i}$ (4l)

<sup>*a*</sup>All reactions were carried out with diarylacetylene (0.50 mmol),  $Ar^2$  MgBr/THF (0.60 mmol),  $Ar^3X$  (0.60 mmol), and NiCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (0.005 mmol) in toluene (2.0 mL) under N<sub>2</sub> at 30 °C for 2 h. <sup>*b*</sup>Isolated yield. <sup>c</sup>For 6 h. <sup>*d*</sup>At 60 °C. <sup>*e*</sup>For 16 h. <sup>*f*</sup>At 40 °C. <sup>*g*</sup>Z/E = 93:7. <sup>*h*</sup>Z/E = 88:12. <sup>*i*</sup>Mixture of three isomers in a ratio of 70:25:5; 2-MeC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>MgBr (2.0 M in Et<sub>2</sub>O, 0.30 mL) + toluene (2.0 mL) + THF (0.30 mL).

olefin geometry. In the reaction of unsymmetrically substituted diarylacetylene (entry 17), the arylmagnesiation step is not highly regioselective to give a mixture of isomers.

The domino reaction of alkyl(aryl)acetylenes also proceeded successfully (Scheme 3). The regiochemistry is consistent with

Scheme 3. Reaction of Alkyl(aryl)acetylenes with Aryl Iodides and the Grignard Reagents<sup>*a*</sup>



<sup>a</sup>Alkyl(aryl)acetylene 1 (0.50 mmol), Ar<sup>2</sup> MgBr/THF 2 (0.60 mmol), Ar<sup>3</sup>I 3 (0.60 mmol), and NiCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (0.005 mmol) in toluene (2.0 mL). <sup>b</sup>Ratio of 4/4'

## Journal of the American Chemical Society

our previous work on the arylmangesiation where magnesium attaches the aryl-substituted carbon.<sup>6b</sup> An array of arylmagnesium bromides with electron-rich or -poor substituents reacted with 1-phenyl-1-hexyne and iodobenzene to give tetrasubstituted alkenes **4m**,**n**,**o** with exclusive regioselectivity. The aryl iodides with electron-rich and -deficient groups at para or meta position gave corresponding olefins **4r**,**s**,**t** with highly stereo- and regioselectivity. The olefin products bearing a chloroalkyl (**4p**) or -aryl (**4u**), methyl ether (**4q**), and an amino group (**4w**, Tamoxifen)<sup>13</sup> were prepared by the present domino reaction. The molecular structures of **4m**,**r**,**t**,**v** were determined by X-ray single crystal diffraction.

We have studied a three-component domino coupling of internal alkynes with aryl Grignard reagents and aryl iodides, which is catalyzed by a simple Ni salt, NiCl<sub>2</sub>. Monitoring the reaction progress revealed that the arylmagnesiation of alkyne generating alkenylmagnesium species is extremely fast, which realizes the selective three-component coupling with a minimal amount of side products. This Ni-catalyzed reaction provides us with a new operationally simple method of synthesizing tetrasubstituted alkenes with high stereo- and regioselectivity.

# ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **S** Supporting Information

Experimental procedures, spectra, and crystallographic data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs. acs.org.

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

# **Corresponding Authors**

\*chmzhaoj@nus.edu.sg \*andyhor@nus.edu.sg \*chmtamh@nus.edu.sg

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Agency for Science, Technology, and Research (A\*Star) of Singapore (R-143-000-566-305) and GlaxoSmithK-line (R-143-000-492-592) for financial support.

#### REFERENCES

Reviews: (a) Tietze, L. F. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 115–136. (b) Ikeda,
 S.-I. Acc. Chem. Res. 2000, 33, 511–519. (c) Hassan, J.; Sevignon, M.;
 Gozzi, C.; Schulz, E.; Lemaire, M. Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 1359–1470.
 (d) Zeni, G.; Larock, R. C. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 2285–2310. (e) Li, C.-J. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 3095–3166. (f) Corbet, J.-P.; Mignani, G.
 Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 2651–2710. (g) Tietze, L. F.; Brasche, G.;
 Gericke, K. Domino Reactions in Organic Synthesis; Wiley: Weinheim,
 Germany, 2006. (h) Pellisier, H. Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 442–524.

(2) (a) Zhou, C.; Emrich, D. E.; Larock, R. C. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 1579– 1582. (b) Zhang, X.; Larock, R. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 2993–2996. (c) Zhou, C.; Larock, R. C. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 3765–3777. (d) Zhang, X.; Larock, R. C. Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 4265–4277.

(3) Larock type reaction reported by others: (a) Konno, T.; Taku, K.-I.; Ishihara, T. J. Fluorine Chem. **2006**, *127*, 966–972. (b) Jiang, H.-F.; Xu, Q.-X.; Wang, A.-Z. J. Supercrit. Fluids **2009**, *49*, 377–384. (c) Satoh, T.; Ogino, S.; Miura, M.; Nomura, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2004**, *43*, 5063–5065. (d) Yamashita, M.; Hirano, K.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. Adv. Synth. Catal. **2011**, 353, 631–636.

(4) Three-component coupling of organic halides, alkynes, and alkenes: (a) Shibata, K.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. Org. Lett. **2005**, *7*, 1781–1783. (b) Shibata, K.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. Adv. Synth. Catal. **2007**, *349*, 2317–2325. (c) Satoh, T.; Tsurugi, H.; Miura, M. Chem. Rec. **2008**, *8*,

326–336. (d) Wen, Y.; Huang, L.; Jiang, H. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 5418–5422.

(5) Three-component coupling of organic halides and two alkynes: (a) Shi, M.; Liu, L.-P.; Tang, J. Org. Lett. **2005**, 7, 3085–3088. (b) Sakai, N.; Komatsu, R.; Uchida, N.; Ikeda, R.; Konakahara, T. Org. Lett. **2010**, 12, 1300–1303. (c) Pottier, L. R.; Peyrat, J.-F.; Alami, M.; Brion, J.-D. Synlett **2004**, 1503–1508.

(6) Ni catalyst: (a) Duboudin, J. G.; Jousseaume, B.; Saux, A. J. Organomet. Chem. **1978**, *162*, 209–222. (b) Xue, F.; Zhao, J.; Hor, T. S. A. Chem. Commun. **2013**, 10121–10123. Mn catalyst: (c) Yorimitsu, H.; Tang, J.; Okada, K.; Shinokubo, H.; Oshima, K. Chem. Lett. **1998**, *27*, 11–12. Fe or Fe/Cu catalyst: (d) Shirakawa, E.; Yamagami, T.; Kimura, T.; Yamaguchi, S.; Hayashi, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2005**, *127*, 17164–17165. (e) Yamagami, T.; Shintani, R.; Shirakawa, E.; Hayashi, T. Org. Lett. **2007**, *9*, 1045–1048. (f) Shirakawa, E.; Ikeda, D.; Masui, S.; Yoshida, M.; Hayashi, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2012**, *134*, 272–279. Cr catalyst: (g) Murakami, K.; Ohmiya, H.; Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K. Org. Lett. **2007**, *9*, 1569–1571. Ag catalyst: (h) Kambe, N.; Moriwaki, Y.; Fujii, Y.; Iwasaki, T.; Terao, J. Org. Lett. **2011**, *13*, 4656–4659.

(7) Ni catalyst: (a) Stüdemann, T.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1997, 36, 93–95. (b) Stüdemann, T.; Ibrahim-Ouali, M.; Knochel, P. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 1299–1316. Co catalyst: (c) Murakami, K.; Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 2373–2375. (d) Tan, B.-H.; Dong, J.; Yoshikai, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 9610–9614. (e) Corpet, M.; Gosmini, C. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 11561–11563. (f) Murakami, K.; Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K. Chem.-Eur. J. 2010, 16, 7688–7691. (g) Nishikawa, T.; Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K. Synlett 2004, 1573–1574. (h) Yasui, H.; Nishikawa, T.; Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2006, 79, 1271–1274. Zr-mediated reaction: (i) Negishi, E.; Van Horn, D. E.; Yoshida, T.; Rand, C. L. Organometallics 1983, 2, 563–565. (j) Negishi, E.; Miller, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 6761–6763.

(8) Reviews on catalytic carbometalation of alkynes: (a) Flynn, A. B.; Ogilvie, W. W. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 4698–4745. (b) Itami, K.; Yoshida, J.-I. Carbomagnesiation Reactions. In The Chemistry of Organomagnesium Compounds; Rappoport, Z.; Marek, I., Eds.; Wiley: Chichester, U.K., 2008; pp 631–679. (c) Lorthiois, E.; Meyer, C. Carbozincation of Alkenes and Alkynes. Patai's Chemistry of Functional Groups [Online]; Wiley: New York, 2009. (d) Murakami, K.; Yorimitsu, H. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2013, 9, 278–302.

(9) Pioneering work in the three-component reaction forming tetrasubstituted alkenes from alkynes by palladium-catalyzed bromoallylation and cross-coupling: Thadani, A. N.; Rawal, V. H. *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 4317–4320.

(10) Reviews on halogen/magnesium exchange reactions: (a) Knochel,
P.; Dohle, W.; Gommermann, N.; Kneisel, F. F.; Kopp, F.; Korn, T.;
Sapountzis, I.; Vu, V. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 4302–4320.
(b) Shinokubo, H.; Oshima, K. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 2081–2091.
(c) Ila, H.; Baron, O.; Wagner, A. J.; Knochel, P. Chem. Lett. 2006, 35, 2–7.
(d) Barl, N. M.; Werner, V.; Sämann, C.; Knochel, P. Heterocycles 2014, 88, 827–844.

(11) Shirakawa, E.; Takahashi, G.; Tsuchimoto, T.; Kawakami, Y. Chem. Commun. 2001, 2688–2689.

(12) (a) Tamao, K.; Sumitani, K.; Kiso, Y.; Zembayashi, M.; Fujioka, A.; Kodama, S.-I.; Nakajima, I.; Minato, A.; Kumada, M. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1976, 49, 1958–1969. (b) Tamao, K.; Kodama, S.; Nakajima, I.; Kumada, M.; Minato, A.; Suzuki, K. Tetrahedron 1982, 38, 3347–3354. (13) Recent syntheses of Tamoxifen: (a) Zhou, Y.; You, W.; Smith, K. B.; Brown, M. K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 3475–3479. (b) Nishihara, Y.; Miyasaka, M.; Okamoto, M.; Takahashi, H.; Inoue, E.; Tanemura, K.; Takagi, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 12634–12635. (c) McKinley, N. F.; O'Shea, D. F. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 9552–9555. (d) Shimizu, K.; Takimoto, M.; Mori, M.; Sato, Y. Synlett 2006, 3182–3184. (e) Shimizu, M.; Nakamaki, C.; Shimono, K.; Schelper, M.; Kurahashi, T.; Hiyama, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 12506–12507. (f) Tessier, P. E.; Penwell, A. J.; Souza, F. E. S.; Fallis, A. G. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 2989–2992. (g) Yus, M.; Ramón, D. J.; Gómez, I. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 3219–3225. See also refs 2c and 7a.